## CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

#### OCTOBER 2015

## (i) EXTENDED HOPE PROPERTY REFURBISHMENT

#### **Details of decision**

That the refurbishment of a building on the site of Libertas Children's Home to create a respite unit for young people in mental health crisis be approved.

### **Reasons for decision**

The funding received from the Department for Education (DfE) was allocated as revenue funding despite the bid submission requesting capital funding in order to refurbish the HOPE children's home respite unit. The DfE stipulated that capital work costs must be met by the bidder and also that the project continues to have support from senior colleagues within SCC to deliver the project. The respite unit is a critical part of the bid proposal and will ensure that partners are much better able to support the emotional and mental health needs of young people in crisis. Therefore, the reason for the above recommendation is to ensure that this aspect of the bid can be achieved.

(Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Schools, Skills and Educational Achievement – on behalf of the Cabinet Member for Children and Families Wellbeing – 8 October 2015)

## (ii) CONSULTATION ON ADMISSION ARRANGMENTS FOR COMMUNITY AND VOLUNTARY CONTROLLED SCHOOLS AND COORDINATED SCHEMES FOR SEPTEMBER 2017

#### **Details of decision**

That the Principal Manager Admissions and Transport (Strategy) be authorised to go out to statutory consultation on the proposed changes to admission arrangements for community and voluntary controlled schools for September 2017.

#### **Reasons for decision**

There is a statutory requirement to consult on admission arrangements every seven years, or sooner if there is a proposal to change any part of a school's admission arrangements. The Local Authority is proposing some changes to the admission arrangements for community and voluntary controlled schools and, as such, there is a statutory duty to consult on these changes.

(Decision of Cabinet Member for Schools, Skills and Educational Achievement –8 October 2015)

# (iii) REQUEST TO APPROVE VALUE OF SURPLUS BALANCES TO TRANSFER TO SALFORDS PRIMARY SCHOOL (NOW AN ACADEMY)

### Details of decision

That the transfer of surplus revenue balances of £14,624 and of surplus capital balances of £4,664 to the academy trust of Salfords Primary School be approved.

## **Reasons for decision**

To comply with statutory requirements and deadlines.

(Decision of Cabinet Member for Schools, Skills and Educational Achievement – 8 October 2015)

## (iv) PETITION IN RELATION TO WEST HUMBLE RAILWAY BRIDGE

### **Details of decision**

That the response attached as Appendix 1 be agreed.

### **Reasons for decision**

To respond to the petition.

(Decision of Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Flooding – 9 October 2015)

## (v) SAP SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE

## Details of decision

- 1. That the background information set out in the submitted report be noted.
- 2. That a contract be awarded to the recommended provider, for Tier 4 support for a two year term at a value, as detailed in the report.

[N.B. Decision effective with immediate effect because this item was considered under Special Urgency Arrangements and therefore not subject to call in.]

## **Reasons for decision**

This contract will enable Surrey County Council to source good quality Tier 4 support service for our SAP system for a more economical price and secure substantial savings for the Council. The same rate will be applied the following year, reducing the comparative cost over 2 years.

(Decision of Cabinet Member for Business Services and Resident Experience – 13 October 2015)

## The Petition

It states: 'We call on the County Council to revise its current infrastructure funding priorities and to fund the necessary £750,000 works required to reinforce the deck to the bridge over the railway in Westhumble so that the weight limit for traffic using the bridge can be increased and the risk of sudden failure of the existing cast iron structure can be avoided.'

Submitted by Mr Mike Giles Signatures: 175

## **Response**

This structure is owned by and the property of Network Rail. As such, the ownership of Network Rail excludes Surrey County Council as there are no financial approvals to use public funding for structures that we do not own. The risk is managed by Network Rail through its inspection and structural assessments regimes. Structural engineers have indicated that due to the design of the bridge, the risk of the whole structure collapsing is low and its last inspection no structural defects were observed.

## Mr John Furey Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Flooding 9 October 2015

This page is intentionally left blank